Melting of colloidal crystals: A Monte Carlo study
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Electrostatically stabilized colloidal crystals show phase transitions into liquid and gaslike states as the ionic impurity concentration increases. Using Monte Carlo simulations we theoretically investigate the melting of four colloidal crystals (two fcc crystals and two bcc crystals) which have also been examined experimentally. We calculate the pair correlation function \( g(r) \), the total potential energy \( U \), and the mean square displacement of a particle \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) for the colloidal suspensions at various ionic impurity concentrations \( n_i \). We calculate the structure factor \( S(Q) \) by Fourier transforming \( g(r) \). We find that the parameters \( g_{\text{max}} \) [the maximum of the first peak in \( g(r) \)], \( S_{\text{max}} \) [the maximum of the first peak in \( S(Q) \)], \( \Delta r \) [the half width at half maximum of the first peak in \( g(r) \)], and \( U \) [the total potential energy] all show discontinuous behavior on melting. We relate the calculated values of \( S_{\text{max}} \), \( g_{\text{max}} \), and the mean square displacement at the point of melting of our colloidal crystals to that of atomic crystals. We find that the ratio of the rate of change of the Wendt–Abraham parameter, \( \frac{g_{\text{min}}}{g_{\text{max}}} \) [the minimum value of \( g(r) \) after the first peak], with respect to \( n_i \), in colloidal crystal to that in liquid is constant but specific to the crystal structure (bcc or fcc). We calculate the latent heat of melting of colloidal crystals.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since colloid ordering occurs at easily studied macroscopic length scales, suspensions of monodisperse colloidal spheres are frequently used as model systems to study phases and phase transitions. Aqueous suspensions of charged colloidal spheres show gas, liquid, glass, and cristalline phases on length scales of nanometers to microns. These phases form spontaneously and the stable phase depends on parameters such as the ionic impurity concentration \( n_i \), particle concentration \( n_p \), particle charge \( Z_e \), particle diameter \( a \), temperature \( T \), and the solvent dielectric constant \( \epsilon \). Each particle is surrounded by a diffuse ion cloud extending from the particle surface into the solution. The interparticle interaction is well represented by a screened Coulomb repulsive potential extending over several particle diameters. The formation of a cubic crystalline structure minimizes these repulsive interactions; the lattice parameter is completely determined by the particle concentration and crystal structure. Highly efficient colloid crystal optical filters, which Bragg diffract to reject narrow bandwidths of light, have been developed and are commercially available.

Colloidal crystals are known to exist in body centered cubic (bcc) and face centered cubic (fcc) structures depending on \( Z, n_p \), and \( a \). Suspensions of particles of diameter of ca. 100 nm and particle charge numbers 600, form bcc structures for \( n_p = 3 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3} \) and form fcc structures at higher \( n_p \). When the particle effective charge is increased to 1150, the crystal remains in bcc for \( n_p = 1 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3} \).

However, at higher \( n_p \) values the structure is fcc. For larger particle diameters (\( \sim 500 \) nm) colloidal crystals show fcc structure even at \( n_p = 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-3} \). Colloidal crystals can be melted by increasing \( n_i \), increasing \( T \), or reducing \( n_p \). Various phases and phase transitions have been theoretically predicted in colloidal suspension by utilizing free energy calculations, density functional formulations, and computer simulations. Crystal to liquid phase transitions in atomic crystals are characterized by the Lindemann criteria, which states that a crystal will melt when the root mean square displacement of the atoms from their equilibrium positions, \( \langle u^2 \rangle \), becomes about 10% of \( n_p^{-1/3} \), the average interparticle distance. However, previous predictions indicate that colloidal crystals melt when \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) reaches about 19% of \( n_p^{-1/3} \). Ise et al. have observed the dynamics of a single colloidal particle in a crystal using an optical microscope and have demonstrated that crystalline phases exist with \( \langle u^2 \rangle \approx 0.16n_p^{-1/3} \).

Kesavamoorthy et al. utilized static light scattering to study the freezing of colloidal liquids and found \( S_{\text{max}} \) [the maximum of the first peak in the structure factor, \( S(Q) \)] for colloidal bcc crystals to be 2.1 at freezing. In contrast, \( S_{\text{max}} \) in atomic systems is 2.8 for fcc crystals and 3.1 for bcc crystals. In an effort to understand the universal value of \( S_{\text{max}} \) at freezing of atomic liquids, Verlet studied hard spheres systems utilizing the Kirkwood–Alder freezing criterion (which states that the volume fraction of hard spheres at freezing is 0.49). They calculated \( S_{\text{max}} = 2.85 \) from computer simulations. Ramakrishnan and Yussouff developed a density functional theory for the freezing of atomic liquids which was consistent with both computer simulation and experiments.

---

*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.
The Wendt–Abraham parameter, $R_g \left[ R_g = g_{\text{min}}/g_{\text{max}} \right]$, where $g_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum value of $g(r)$ following the first peak and $g_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum value of $g(r)$, is useful for studying phase transitions. Kimura and Yonezawa have investigated the liquid to glass transition of argon using computer simulated quenching of liquid argon at different temperatures. They found that $R_g$ was continuous, but a change in the temperature derivative of $R_g$ occurred at the glass transition temperature. $R_g$ is expected to be continuous at the liquid-glass transition, but not at the liquid-crystal transition.

In the present work we use Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) sampling to simulate aqueous charged monodisperse colloidal suspensions containing various ionic impurity concentrations. We examine the melting of fcc and bcc crystals by increasing the ionic impurity concentration at constant $T$, volume, and $n_p$. We obtain the pair correlation function, $g(r)$, the total potential energy $U_r$, and the mean square displacement $\langle u^2 \rangle$. The structure factor is obtained by Fourier transforming $g(r)$. We find similar values for these structural parameters for different bcc crystals. However, these $u^2$ values differ from those of fcc crystals. The Lindemann criterion is found to be the same for both bcc and fcc colloidal crystals. We also calculate the latent heat of melting of these crystals.

### II. SIMULATION DETAILS

Monte Carlo simulations based on Metropolis algorithm for a canonical ensemble (constant number of particles, volume, and temperature) were carried out with periodic boundary conditions to simulate aqueous colloidal suspensions of monodisperse polystyrene particles. The particles interact via a screened Coulomb potential:

$$U(r) = \frac{Z^2e^2}{\epsilon} \left[ \frac{\exp(\kappa r/2)}{1 + \kappa r/2} \right]^2 \exp(-\kappa r)/r,$$

(1)

where $Ze$ is the charge on the particles of diameter $\sigma$, $\epsilon$ is the electronic charge, $\epsilon$ is the static dielectric constant of the medium, and $r$ is the interparticle separation distance. The inverse Debye screening length, $\kappa$, is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{4\pi\epsilon e^2}{ek_BT}[n_pZ + n_i],$$

(2)

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann constant, $n_p$ is the particle concentration, $n_i$ is the ionic impurity concentration (assumed to be monovalent), and $n_pZ$ is the counter ion concentration. When the suspension is really pure ($n_i = 0$), then the particle screening ions are only the counter ions with concentration $n_pZ$. If the water is impure or a typical 1:1 ionic salt is added to the suspension, the particle screening ion concentration becomes $n_pZ + n_i$, where $n_i$ is the added impurity concentration (positive + negative ions). In experiments, $n_i$ represents the impurity concentration actually present in water and that added intentionally to the suspension. All simulations are performed at $T = 298$ K. Table I lists the parameters for the for colloidal systems simulated, which are similar to systems that have been previously studied experimentally.

### TABLE I. The details of the colloidal systems simulated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System $n_p/10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$/nm</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>Crystal structure</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>bcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>bcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>fcc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>fcc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Systems $A$ and $B$ exist in bcc structure while systems $C$ and $D$ exist in fcc structure. Each simulation begins by loading 250 particles in bcc lattice positions for systems $A$ and $B$ or by loading 256 particles in fcc lattice positions for systems $C$ and $D$ in a cubic cell of volume $V = L^3 = N/n_p$. MC simulations with $N > 200$ are known to give similar results to those using $N > 1000$. The potential energy calculations are cut off at $r = L/2$, because at this distance the interaction pair potential is less than $10^{-4}k_BT$. This cutoff is comparable to that used previously. Step sizes of $\sigma/2$ were chosen for systems $A$, $B$, and $C$ and $\sigma/4$ for system $D$. The total potential energy is calculated after each particle is moved a step in a random direction. The new configuration is accepted or rejected via Boltzmann weighted energy comparisons. One MC pass occurs when all $N$ particles have moved once. Approximately 4000 passes ($\sim 1.1$ million configurations) are discarded initially while the system evolves to equilibrium. After reaching equilibrium, the pair correlation function, mean square displacement of all particles, and the total potential energy are obtained by calculating 350 more passes. The total potential energy is found to fluctuate negligibly about a mean value which is constant for the final 350 passes, confirming that the system has equilibrated.

The pair correlation function $g(r)$ is obtained for $r = 0$ to $L/2$ using the standard methods. The total potential energy is obtained from:

$$U_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{k=1}^{N} U(r_{ij}).$$

(3)

An approximate value of the mean square displacement $\langle u^2 \rangle$, is obtained from $\Delta r$, the half width at half maximum of the first peak in $g(r)$. The width of the first peak in $g(r)$ is related to the average displacement of the first nearest neighbor particles from their equilibrium position. Hone et al. point out that thermal averaging of the colloidal particle density function relates the bandwidth of the first peak in $g(r)$ to $\langle u^2 \rangle$:

$$g_1(r) = (g_{\text{max}}) \exp \left[ -\frac{3(r-d)^2}{2\langle u^2 \rangle} \right],$$

(4)

where $d$ is the average interparticle separation distance. Thus, from Eq. (4),

$$\langle u^2 \rangle = \frac{3(\Delta r)^2}{2 \ln(2)}.$$

Equation (5) can be used to determine $\langle u^2 \rangle$ in the crystal phase where the particles vibrate around their equilibrium position. In contrast, Eq. (5) might not be helpful to obtain
in liquid phase where the particles undergo diffusive motion. However, in order to compute the Lindemann melting parameter, \( \mathcal{W} \), it is sufficient to calculate \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) in crystal phase using Eq. (5). We may also determine the mean square displacement (MSD) of a particle from the variation in position between different passes \( (m) \),

\[
\text{MSD}(m) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (r_{i,m} - r_{i,4000})^2,
\]

where \( r_{i,4000} \) is the position of the \( i \)th particle at pass number 4000. \( \text{MSD}(m) \) was calculated for \( m \) ranging from 4000 to 4350. We compare these two methods for calculating the mean square displacement in Sec. III D.

Each simulation is initiated from a system with perfect crystalline order. As the simulation proceeds, the system relaxes into either a less rigid crystalline state or melts into a liquid state depending upon the ionic impurity concentration. The simulations were performed on the FPS model 500EA computer and the CPU time required for each simulation was \( \approx 4.5 \) hours.

The static structure factor, \( S(Q) \) is obtained by Fourier transforming \( g(r) \),

\[
S(Q) = 1 + \frac{4\pi n_p}{Q} \int_{0}^{L/2} [g(r) - 1] \sin(Qr)dr.
\]

Since \( g(r) \) was calculated from \( r = 0 \) to \( L/2 \), the integration in Eq. (7) was truncated at \( L/2 \). Because of this truncation, \( S(Q) \) oscillates with considerable amplitude at small values of \( Q \) [i.e., \( Q = 0 \) to \( 0.7(2\pi/d) \) where \( d \) is the average interparticle separation]. Thus, for this range of \( Q \), \( S(Q) \) was assigned a value of zero. \( S(Q) \) was calculated for \( Q = 0.7(2\pi/d) \) to \( 3.5(2\pi/d) \). Each \( g(r) \) obtained by an inverse transform of the calculated \( S(Q) \) was identical to the original \( g(r) \) to within \( \pm 0.05 \).

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dependence of all of the structural parameters, \( g_{\text{max}}, R_g, S_{\text{max}} \), on ionic impurity concentration, for each crystal, are similar: thus the values of each parameter can be used to develop melting criteria. We discuss each parameter individually since all have been used in different previous melting investigations.\(^4\)\(^2\)\(^3\)

#### A. \( g_{\text{max}} \)

Figure 1, which shows the plots of \( g_{\text{max}} \) (the maximum value of the first peak) as a function of \( n_i \) for the colloidal crystals, indicates that \( g_{\text{max}} \) decreases monotonically as \( n_i \) increases. For each of these colloids, \( g_{\text{max}} \) discontinuously decreases at a particular critical ionic impurity concentration, \( n_c^m \). The rate of decrease of \( g_{\text{max}} \) with \( n_i \) is larger in the region \( n_i < n_c^m \) than in the region \( n_i > n_c^m \).

Figure 2 shows two calculated \( g(r) \) curves for the fcc colloid system C. The solid plot shows a \( g(r) \) for \( n_i < n_c^m \), while the dashed plot shows a \( g(r) \) for \( n_i > n_c^m \). For \( n_i < n_c^m \), \( g(r) \) of Fig. 2 shows peaks at \( r \) values of various neighbor positions associated with a crystalline order. In contrast, for \( n_i > n_c^m \), \( g(r) \) shows smoother peaks which more quickly decrease in magnitude at higher \( r \). This behavior is characteristic of liquid order. It appears that the colloidal crystal melts at \( n_c^m \) since the observed discontinuity in \( S_{\text{max}} \) at \( n_c^m \) is characteristic of a first order phase transition.\(^4\)\(^0\) Table II lists the \( g_{\text{max}} \) values at \( n_c^m \) in both crystal \( (g'_{\text{max}}) \) and liquid \( (g''_{\text{max}}) \) phases and illustrates that \( n_c^m \) for melting is different for each crystal. \( g_{\text{max}} \) are essentially the same at \( 2.85 \pm 0.05 \) for both bcc crystals, but are smaller \( (2.72 \pm 0.05) \) for the fcc crystals. Similarly, \( g'_{\text{max}} \) are the same for the two bcc crystals at \( 2.61 \pm 0.05 \), but are smaller \( (2.48 \pm 0.05) \) for fcc crystals.
TABLE II. The simulation results on the structural parameters of the colloidal suspensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n_i^c/n_i^a$</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{*\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{g}$</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{*\text{max}}$</td>
<td>3.30 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>2.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are the first reports, to our knowledge, of the values of $g_{\text{max}}$ at melting for colloidal crystals.

B. $R_g$

Figure 3 shows the Wendt–Abraham parameter, $R_g = g_{\text{min}}/g_{\text{max}}$. $R_g$ is probably more sensitive to melting than $g(r)$ since the ratio will be independent of the scaling of $g(r)$. $R_g$ as a function of $n_i$ also shows a discontinuity at $n_i^c$. The slope of $R_g$ is lower in the liquid state than in the corresponding crystalline state; Table II lists the ratio of these slopes, $m_g$. $m_g$ is essentially identical for both bcc crystals (0.76 ± 0.03), but is smaller (0.61 ± 0.03) for the fcc crystals. The Wendt–Abraham parameter, which was previously used to theoretically investigate the glass to liquid transition of argon, was found to be continuous across the glass to...
TABLE III. Simulation results on the mean square displacement and the energy of the colloidal suspensions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta r^* n_i^{1/3}$</td>
<td>0.130 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.128 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.121 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>0.191 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.185 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.188 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.178 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSD* $n_i^{1/3}$</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{11}/10^{-15}$ erg</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta S/10^{-15}$ erg $K$</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L/E_{\text{cal}}$ mol</td>
<td>320 ± 20</td>
<td>320 ± 20</td>
<td>320 ± 20</td>
<td>270 ± 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

liquid transition (which is not a first order transition), but showed a discontinuity in slope at the transition point. In the present work $R_2$ is discontinuous across the melting transition, a finding consistent with a first order phase transition.

C. $S_{\text{max}}$

Figure 4 shows the dependence of $S_{\text{max}}$ on $n_i$; $S_{\text{max}}$ is obtained from the Fourier transform of $g(r)$. As observed for $S_{\text{max}}$ and $R_2$, $S_{\text{max}}$ also shows a discontinuity at $n_i^{\text{m}}$. The value of $S_{\text{max}}$ at $n_i^{\text{m}}$ in the crystalline state, $S_{\text{max}}^c$, is 3.25 ± 0.07 for both bcc crystals, but decreases to 2.76 ± 0.07 for the fcc crystals. The corresponding $S_{\text{max}}$ values in the liquid state, $S_{\text{max}}^l$, are 2.52 ± 0.05 for the bcc crystals and 2.39 ± 0.05 for the fcc crystals. Table II lists these $S_{\text{max}}$ values. Consistent with our observations, liquid metals which freeze into bcc structure give larger $S_{\text{max}}^l$ values than those that freeze into fcc structure, $S_{\text{max}}^l = 2.8$. Kesavamoorthy et al. have experimentally measured a value of $S_{\text{max}}^l = 2.1 ± 0.1$ for system $A$, which significantly differs from the present simulation value of $S_{\text{max}}^l = 2.52 ± 0.05$. The origin of this discrepancy is not clear at present. However, Kesavamoorthy et al. kept a mixed bed of ion-exchange resins at the bottom of the suspension, which caused a gradient in $n_i$ along the height of the column. The upper region of the suspension was liquid while the bottom region was crystalline. Because of the $n_i$ gradient, $n_i$ in the measurement region (about 1–2 mm above the liquid-crystal boundary) could have been higher than $n_i^{\text{m}}$ which would result in a lowered $S_{\text{max}}^l$ value. Also, the experiment was carried out under constant pressure conditions while the simulation is performed under constant volume conditions.

D. Mean square displacement

The mean square displacement, $\langle u^2 \rangle$, is obtained from $\Delta r$ from the first peak of the $g(r)$ using Eq. (5). Figure 5 shows $\Delta r$ as a function of $n_i$, while Table III lists the values of $\Delta r_m$ (where the crystal just begins to melt) as well as the

FIG. 6. Mean square displacement (MSD) as a function of the number of Monte Carlo passes for colloid system $B$, in crystalline phase, at $n_i/n_pZ = 0.500$.

FIG. 7. Root mean square displacement (RMSD) (at the 300th pass) as a function of $n_i$ for system $B$.

FIG. 8. Total equilibrium potential energy $U_i$ as a function of $n_i$ for systems $A$ and $C$. 
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Lindemann parameter, $W = n_p^{1/3} \langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$. $W$ at $n_m$ is the same for the bcc and fcc crystals ($W = 0.19 \pm 0.01$) to within our calculated accuracy and is identical to values of $W$ obtained by others.\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^7\)\(^9\)\(^24\)

Tata et al.\(^4\)\(^1\) have obtained the mean square displacement (MSD) of a particle at equilibrium. They calculated the root mean square displacement (RMSD) to be 28% of $n_p^{1/3}$ at $n_m$.\(^4\)\(^1\) Figure 6 shows a typical MSD plot as a function of $m$ for the bcc colloidal crystal $B$. Figure 7 shows MSD as a function of $n_i$ for the system $B$ after 300 passes, while Table III lists RMSD at $n_m$ for each system. Our calculated RMSD is significantly larger than $\langle (u^2) \rangle^{1/2}$ because it includes deviation in position relative to the original lattice site and does not permit the lattice to move as the crystal relaxes. Moreover, MSD depends on the number of passes ($m$). Even for a large value of $m (> 300)$, MSD increases with $m$. The high values of RMSD$^*n_p^{1/3}$ at melting observed by Tata et al.\(^4\)\(^1\) and us (the present work) as compared to $W$ clearly indicates that it is incorrect to determine $W$ on the basis of the calculated MSD value of Eq. (6).

E. Total potential energy

Figures 8 and 9 which show the total potential energy, $U_i$ [calculated using Eq. (3)], as a function of $n_i$, indicates that $U_i$ decreases monotonically with $n_i$ in both the crystalline and in the liquid phases. $U_i$ discontinuously increases by a value $\Delta U$ at $n_m$. This $\Delta U$ increase (for $N$ particles), derives from the change in entropy at 298 K. The change in Helmholtz free energy, $\Delta F$, should be zero at $n_m$.\(^4\)\(^0\)

$$\Delta F = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta U = T \Delta S,$$

(8)

where $\Delta S$ is the entropy increase on melting. The values of $\Delta U$ and $\Delta S$ (obtained using Eq. (7)) are listed in Table III. The latent heat of melting, $\Delta L$, can be obtained from $\Delta U$; Table III shows that $L$ is essentially identical, $320$ cal/mole for all the colloidal crystals. Previously Williams et al. experimentally melted colloidal crystals increasing $T$ and calculated the latent heat of melting using an approximate theory.\(^1\)\(^1\) Their value of $L$ ($4$ kcal/mole) is dramatically different from ours. In our study, the colloidal crystals melt due to an increase in $n_i$ at constant temperature and volume.

We simulated the melting of system $A$ with $n_i = 1.15n_pZ$ by increasing the temperature. Our preliminary results indicate that system $A$ melts at $360$ K with a latent heat of melting, $L \sim 630$ cal/mole. In this simulation, we account for the temperature dependence of $\epsilon$ but ignore the thermal expansion of the suspension. MC simulation at constant pressure would be more appropriate for a system which is expanding due to increasing temperature.

A real experimental determination of $L$ is fraught with artifacts. Increasing $T$ causes an increase in the ionic impurity concentration due to leaching from the container walls. In addition, convection currents will occur to cause shear induced melting.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have used Monte Carlo simulation techniques to study the melting of electrostatically stabilized colloidal par-

FIG. 9. Total equilibrium potential energy $U_i$, as a function of $n_i$ for systems $B$ and $D$.


ticles. Two bcc and two fcc colloidal crystals were melted by increasing the ionic impurity concentration at constant temperature and volume. All structural parameters, $g_{max}$, $S_{max}$, and $R_g$ show values at melting which differ between the bcc crystals and fcc crystals. We establish melting criteria based on these structural parameter values at melting and compare these criteria to those in atomic systems. The Lindemann criterion which is obtained from the width of the simulated $g(r)$ first peak compares well with other reported values. We estimate the latent heat of melting and the entropy increase at melting from the potential energy increase.
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